ADVISOR DAY 2021 BATTLE TALES | INCLUDING THE FIRST QLD SUPREME COURT CLASS ACTION CLIFFORD GOULDSON LAWYERS

CG Law Advisor Day – Battle Tales

Presented by:

Harrison Humphries, Head of Litigation + Dispute Resolution

Followed by a panel discussion with:

- Ben Gouldson, Director & Head of Intellectual Property
- Danny Clifford, Director & Head of Workplace
- Amanda Tolson, Director & Head of Commercial + Property

07 4688 2188 www.cglaw.com.au

CG Law Advisor Day – Battle Tales

Disclaimer

- This presentation is not intended to be, nor should it be taken as, specific legal advice
- No representation is made as to the accuracy of the information in this presentation
- Recipients of the information in this presentation consider it at their own risk and are advised to seek independent legal advice on it

07 4688 2188 www.cglaw.com.au CLIFFORD GOULDSON LAWYERS

CG Law Advisor Day – Battle Tales

Two (2) War Stories

- 1. To Extend or not to Extend Do the terms of an agreement matter?
- 2. The Extent of a Manufacturer's Duty of Care The Queensland Supreme Court's first class action

07 4688 2188 www.cglaw.com.au

To Extend or not to Extend

Setting the Scene

- The contractual position
- Pre-proceeding correspondence and without prejudice negotiations
- The other side's application to the court and our responsive affidavits

07 4688 2188 www.cglaw.com.au CLIFFORD GOULDSON LAWYERS

To Extend or not to Extend

The Key Issues

- · Was the Applicant's notice to extend the agreement valid?
- Was such notice given when the Applicant was in breach of the agreement?
- Did the Respondent's conduct legally prevent it from opposing the extension?
- Did the balance of convenience favour the grant of an injunction?

07 4688 2188 www.cglaw.com.au

To Extend or not to Extend

The Decision

- · Was the Applicant's notice to extend the agreement valid?
- Was such notice given when the Applicant was in breach of the agreement?
- Did the Respondent's conduct legally prevent it from opposing the extension?
- Did the balance of convenience favour the grant of an injunction?

07 4688 2188 www.cglaw.com.au CLIFFORD GOULDSON LAWYERS

The Extent of a Manufacturer's Duty of Care

The Numbers

- A. 500-600 growers
- B. \$300 million claim
- C. 15 barristers
- D. Listed for a 4-week trial (in March and April 2020)
- E. 4 years and 4 months (and counting)

07 4688 2188 www.cglaw.com.au

The Extent of a Manufacturer's Duty of Care

Setting the Scene

- The facts
- · The claim and the defence
- · The proceeding

07 4688 2188 www.cglaw.com.au CLIFFORD GOULDSON LAWYERS

The Extent of a Manufacturer's Duty of Care

The Key Issues

- Did the Defendant owe the growers a duty of care?
- Did the Defendant breach any such duty?
- Did any breach of any such duty cause the growers loss?
- · Was the Defendant's conduct misleading or deceptive?
- Was the growers' claim filed too late?
- · Could any damages be aggregated?

07 4688 2188 www.cglaw.com.au

The Extent of a Manufacturer's Duty of Care

The Decision

- Did the Defendant owe the growers a duty of care?
- · Did the Defendant breach any such duty?
- · Did any breach of any such duty cause the growers loss?
- · Was the Defendant's conduct misleading or deceptive?
- Was the growers' claim filed too late?
- · Could any damages be aggregated?

07 4688 2188 www.cglaw.com.au CLIFFORD GOULDSON LAWYERS

CG Law Advisor Day – Battle Tales

'Anything Legal'

Question & Answer Session

07 4688 2188 www.cglaw.com.au

